

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/822,124	03/30/2001	Ellen M. Nelson	10003323-1	8877	
7590 04/11/2006			EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			BHATIA, AJAY M		
Legal Department, 51U-PD Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 58043			2145		
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8043			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/822,124	NELSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					
Defore the filling of all Appeal Differ	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	ion.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		ť		
 11. \overline{A} The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration. See Continuation Sheet. 	dered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	r allowance .		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			
13. Other:			ζ.		
	Su	eled-			
		ON CARDONE RY PATENT EXAM	INER		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A large portion of applicant's representative's response is directed to Barrick and fails to discuss the specific claim limitations. Additionally applicant's representative also argues feature, which are currently not present in the claim limitation. Any arguments that were not addressed by the above statement were addressed in the final action, which clearly review the prior art in view of the claims. Therefore applicant arugment fail to persuade examiner.